

Susie Sengupta's Comment on Saving an Endangered Species: "Decency" and the author's response

Soma Chatterjee's comment: The argument would be: what is 'interesting' about watching a mom love her child like crazy? This is "normal", "accepted" and not "interesting"...

Author's response: It is a slippery slope. Following the same logic, normal love and attraction between a man and a woman are not interesting enough, so perversion such as rape and sadism etc. should be presented and watched – because perversion "sells".

Comment on Author's above comment: Susie Sengupta

Who decided a "normal love is only between a man and a woman?" Why is the author presenting such a narrow minded view of the word "Love"? What is "normal"?

Love and attraction between a man and a woman is needed to propagate the species with same Family genes, nothing more nothing less. This kind of comments in today's World is worst than TV shows that we have.

Love between a man and a man/woman and a woman is equally beautiful and normal-it is Love. There are many examples in old Indian Literature of such love (Sakhiani)...Love means acceptance not judgement! Thank you.

Author's Response:

The above assertion was taken out of context and misquoted by Susie Sengupta: "normal love is **only** between a man and a woman?"

This is what the author wrote in response to Soma Chatterjee's comment [also mentioned above]:

"Following the same logic, normal love and attraction between a man and a woman are not interesting enough." Love and attraction between a man and a woman was presented as one example of normal love but by no means implied this to be the **only** kind of love. Also, the article and the author's response to the comments did not seek to define "love".

It is understandable that people may have different opinions on such issues. The author's comment was made only from her own perspective, and does not exclude other possible views.